Call 05 Replacing 7 Bridges on SR 145 between Baldwyn and Booneville, known as Federal Aid Project No. BR-2839-00(019) / 100153301 in Prentiss County.

- Q1. The bridge plans in some places mention the 30" dia. steel pipe pile having a 3/4" wall thickness (See Pile Hammer Reqs. Table on Sheets 8003, 8026, 8046, 8066, 8086), but the pay item description calls for 1/2" wall thickness. Which is the correct wall thickness to use for the pipe piles on this project?
- A1. See addendum.
- Q2. **1.** Notice to Bidders 211 states that no work shall be allowed prior to the May 24 beginning of Milestone Work. Would the department consider allowing work at the site as long as the road remains open? This would especially allow the indicator and PDA test piles to be driven and the production pile lengths determined and fabricated. There are times that it could be difficult to get the production piling fabricated in a timely manner especially the pipe piles that are not a stock item. **2.** Also, could the contractor work on multiple sites (1, 2 BOP and 2 EOP) as long at the sites remain open to traffic?
- A2. 1. See addendum. 2. See addendum.
- Q3. Please reference Notice to Bidders No. 211. This Notice to Bidder references Bridge D and Box Bridge at Sta. 1793+88 for the completion of the interim milestone. Should this be Bridge E? Please state the station number of the Bridge that is to be completed for the interim milestone.
- A3. See addendum.
- Q4. Is there supposed to be a pay item for Clearing and Grubbing Lump Sum?
- A4. See addendum.
- Q5. Would MDOT allow the contractor for this job to substitute crushed limestone for Granular Material Class 3 Group C?
- A5. Bidders are advised to bid the pay item(s) as shown in the plans.
- Q6. NTB No. 2 Status of ROW indicates that all known utilities in conflict with construction have been located. Have the power, telephone/fiber optic, gas, water, etc. lines been moved to the new ROW limits or lowered to their respective minimum cover below the required excavations for the box culverts/bridges and stream diversions?
- A6. Yes, they have been moved to the ROW limits where they were in conflict.
- Q7. Would MDOT reconsider allowing work on Site 2BOP or 2EOP and the Milestone at the same time? These sites are totally independent of each other and would allow the contractor to much more efficiently prosecute the work. If nothing else allow the

contractor to begin the Milestone on May 24 while allowing him to finish 2 BOP or 2 EOP with the restriction that no other site may be started during the Milestone.

A7. No.