Call 09 Intersection Improvements on various routes throughout the District, known as Federal Aid Project No. HSIP-9999-02(391) / 108900301 in District 2.

- Q1. The EQ6 sheet does not match the individual IIP sheets for Desoto County. Site 21 has 6 of 638-C001 Flash Assembly Solar Powered making the total for Desoto County 12. County Total reflects zero on this item. 907-630-A001 Standard Roadside Signs LED Enhanced adds up to 184 not 113. These also makes the Summary of Quantities Sheet #18 incorrect.
- A1. See addendum.
- Q2. Would MDOT consider changing removal of stripe and replace to restripe?
- A2. See addendum.
- Q3. 1.) Where the signs have to be Removed and Replaced in Concrete Islands can old post be cut off and Replaced using a Surface Mount Base Instead of saw cutting? 2.) It says on working sheet TSS-2 bag concrete can be used if absorbed. Can bag concrete be used on this job? 3.) Will the smaller Directional Signs be Extruded or can they be Flat Panel Signs?
- A3. 1.) It will be allowed provided the U-channel posts are cut off flush with the existing concrete. In addition, the surface mounted base shall meet MASH requirements. 2.) Yes.3.) See addendum.
- Q4. **1.**) Based on the 10/19/22 Notice to Bidders, it will be necessary that the Contractor estimate the absorbed quantity of Removal of Stripe and insert the cost thereof in another bid item. Would it not be more reasonable and cost efficient to re-establish the previously removed Removal of Stripe item with a MDOT quantity (i.e.,100,000 LF) in order to have a unit price in the event removal of stripe is directed to be performed? **2.**) Is grinding an approved method by MDOT?
- A4. **1.**) See addendum. **2.**) Grinding as a means of removal of traffic stripe will not be allowed.