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MISSION
Save lives and reduce injuries by using partnerships to coordinate and integrate education, enforcement, engineering, and emergency response initiatives.
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GOAL
To reduce the number of traffic fatalities by 25% to 525 by 2023.
The top priority of the Mississippi Departments of Public Safety and Transportation is the safety of all users of Mississippi’s public roadways. Working together, our departments have adopted a vision of eliminating all traffic-related deaths – Towards Zero Deaths (TZD).

In 2016, 687 people lost their lives in traffic crashes on Mississippi roadways. This figure is up from a low of 582 fatalities in 2012, an unfortunate trend that Mississippi, as well as the rest of the United States, is experiencing. The number of deaths has gone up despite the continued and extensive efforts of many at our respective agencies to reduce the numbers through projects and programs designed specifically to target emphasis areas outlined in previous plans.

To assist our agencies in these efforts, we encourage all road users to not drive distracted or impaired, to wear their seat belts, and to be mindful of their fellow drivers, bicyclists and pedestrians. We also ask that they operate safely through work zones across the state to ensure that our state’s road builders have a safe environment in which to work as they improve our roadway infrastructure.

Thanks to all our many safety partners and stakeholders who participated in the SHSP update process. As a result of our collective efforts, we have produced a plan that will help make Mississippi’s roads safer in the years to come.

Sincerely,

Melinda McGrath
Executive Director
Mississippi Department of Transportation

Marshall Fisher
Commissioner for Public Safety
Mississippi Department of Public Safety
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Executive Summary

In 2019, Mississippi completed an update to its Strategic Highway Safety Plan (SHSP), building on plans from 2007 and 2014, respectively. Following guidance from the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), the plan identifies the areas of need for the state, and a roadmap of how various agencies and disciplines can make a positive impact on crash issues in the years to come. Based upon crash data analyses conducted during the plan development process, the emphasis areas selected were:

- Unlicensed Drivers
- Impaired Driving
- Unbelted Vehicle Occupants
- Road Departure Crashes
- Intersection Crashes

The plan also touches on the topics of distracted driving and data quality, and how improvements in the five outlined emphasis areas can incorporate these topics. Though the state did not have specific data to support their inclusion, driver behavior statistics and the data needs discussed by various agencies through the process made it evident that these were topics that would be a vital part of any improvements in the state’s fatal and serious injury crashes.

The plan also outlines how stakeholders from across the state were involved in the process, including the stakeholder’s meeting in the summer of 2018. At this meeting, agencies ranging from the Department of Public Safety to local public works departments were all briefed on what a statewide crash data analysis found, as well as the emphasis areas identified as a result of that analysis. The group discussed these emphasis areas in great detail and produced lists of strategies that have been incorporated into this plan.

The new plan concludes with a list of screened strategies that can help reduce fatal and serious injury crashes in Mississippi in the selected emphasis areas. The strategies represent a healthy mix of opportunities for improvement across each of the 4Es: Engineering, Enforcement, Education and Emergency Management Services. It is our belief that these strategies and the guidance outlined in this document will help reduce crash related fatalities in Mississippi.
High Risk Rural Roads (HRRR)

As defined in 23 USC 148 (a)(1), the term “high risk rural road” means any roadway functionally classified as a rural major or minor collector or a rural local road with significant safety risks, as defined by a State in accordance with an updated State SHSP. Mississippi approaches HRRR-related crash issues through systemic, lane departure-focused countermeasures both on state highways and on local roads through MDOT’s Safety Circuit Rider Program.

In 2017, MDOT defined “significant safety risk” as it pertains to HRRR as any road functionally classified as listed above and meeting any of the following criteria over an analysis period:

- 1 Fatal (K) or 1 Life Threatening Injury (A) crash
- A Severity Index (EPDO Crashes) greater than 0.50
- Lane departure crashes are the majority of crashes on the subject route
- Angle type crashes are the majority of crashes at the subject intersection
1.0 Introduction and Background

1.1 Background and Purpose

Mississippi has made positive strides towards improving traffic safety for road users in the state by employing a multitude of efforts through the 4 Es. Regardless of the area of specialty or expertise, Mississippi highway safety advocates focus their efforts in reducing crashes based on the vision set forth in the state’s Strategic Highway Safety Plan (SHSP).

Since 2007, Mississippi has maintained and worked from an aggressive SHSP focused on the data-driven needs of the state. The plans implemented since that time have outlined emphasis areas and strategies aimed at helping the state reach its goal of no loss of life on Mississippi roadways.

In recent years, Mississippi has seen the plan be an instrument for success in these efforts. In 2012, the state reduced its traffic-related fatalities to 582, the lowest number in the state in 36 years. Other realized accomplishments in recent years are detailed on page 9 in the brief history of Mississippi’s Highway Safety Investments.

While these are all accomplishments to be proud of, the task of reducing fatal crashes to zero remains a significant effort. Traffic-related fatalities – in Mississippi as well as nationwide – have begun to trend upward in the last several years. In 2016, 687 people lost their lives on Mississippi roadways, a figure that is unacceptable to those charged with maintaining and improving our highways. With that in mind, the refocusing of efforts through a new SHSP update has never been more needed than it is now.

Over the next five years that this SHSP is in place, the state of Mississippi intends to continue its work spending time and resources towards areas of emphasis that can help the state one day become a place where traffic fatalities are a part of the past.

National and State Context – Traffic Fatalities

Fatal and serious injury (severe) crashes are a major public health issue in the United States. In 2016, latest estimates show 37,461 people were killed in traffic crashes—an average of 102 people killed every day.

From a high of 55,704 fatalities in 1972, there has been a trend of significant reductions in traffic related fatalities in the U.S. (Figure 1). This trend was interrupted between the early 1990s and 2000-2004 as traffic fatalities began to increase. However, there was a trend in the mid-2000s where traffic fatalities decreased dramatically to the lowest number of fatalities in recent history (32,479) recorded in 2011. That decrease was interrupted in 2015 and 2016, with an increase in fatalities nationally and in Mississippi.
Figure 1. Historical Number of Fatalities – National and Mississippi

Mississippi’s Safety Planning Efforts and Goal

Since the early 1990s, Mississippi has mostly followed the national trend for traffic related fatalities. As shown in Figure 1, the number of traffic fatalities in Mississippi grew steadily until 2005, with a minor dip to 784 in 2001. Between 2005 and 2012, traffic fatalities in the state dropped every year, reaching a low of 582 in 2012 - a 37% reduction in traffic fatalities.

The original 2007 Mississippi SHSP included a goal of reducing traffic fatalities to 700 or fewer by 2011. Figure 1 shows that the state’s goal was met two years early in 2009. The 2014 plan set a goal of reducing fatalities to 525 by 2017. The State achieved a significant amount of success over prior year fatality totals through the focus on safety and outreach efforts but was unable to achieve the 2017 goal. While the original goal of 700 or fewer is still being met, the 525 goal represents a reduction of 24% over current levels. Figure 2 shows the achievement of the original Mississippi SHSP goal, trend lines, and the proposed new goal.

_The new goal is to reduce annual traffic fatalities by 25 percent by 2023._ This is an aggressive goal but shows Mississippi’s dedication to driving fatalities to zero.
Brief History of Mississippi’s Highway Safety Investments

Mississippi has a long tradition of investing in all phases of highway safety. Examples of strategies and programs that have been successfully deployed to address safety along the State’s highway system are identified based on the 4 Es: Engineering, Enforcement, Education and Emergency Medical Services (EMS). Some examples of these strategies and programs include:

Engineering

- MDOT has worked extensively in the last five years towards budgeting and installing more innovative intersection treatments. These types of treatments include two of FHWA’s proven safety countermeasures: Restricted Crossing U-turns (RCUTs) and Roundabouts. Both treatments continue to produce encouraging results from a crash reduction perspective where they have been installed.
• MDOT continues to deploy edge line treatments such as rumble strip and rumble stripe to help reduce the likelihood of roadway departure crashes on the many rural sections of Mississippi roadway. MDOT also recently updated its pavement marking policy to allow for the use of new pavement marking technologies such as audible thermoplastic stripe where shoulders are not wide enough to install a rumble strip. Some local roadway agencies are deploying edge line treatments on some of their projects, as well. All these efforts help to ensure that more of Mississippi’s roads can offer a warning (of various types) for drivers potentially leaving the roadway.

• MDOT is following the national trend of focusing more funding on systemic treatments such as the restriping and resigning of routes, rumble strips, and other measures. The use of these treatments allows MDOT to treat more of the many miles of highway it maintains, as well as spread its funding further.

• MDOT’s Safety Analysis Management System (SAMS) project was initiated in 2004 to design and develop a web-based, geographic information system (GIS)-enabled application and supporting geospatial data repository through which crash data can be quickly and easily analyzed. SAMS enhances the ability of MDOT safety staff to perform both basic and advanced analyses of crash data (and roadway characteristics). These analyses range from a simple query of crashes and visualization of their locations on a map to comprehensive statewide analyses of high-crash locations. With MDOT focusing its safety funding on data-driven areas of need, SAMS is the backbone of the HSIP.

• MDOT implemented the Safety Circuit Rider Program in 2012. This program was created to provide training and technical assistance to local roadway officials and managers. The goal of this effort was to extend the culture of safety and the ability to make safety improvements to the local roadway system. The program uses dedicated personnel to aid local officials in reviewing crash data for their jurisdictions, making site visits to assess road and intersection conditions, and make engineering recommendations to improve safety. Improvements are offered either through a warning and advisory signage program that provides free signage to locals for their installation as crash data warrants, as well as HSIP-funded projects.

• MDOT has participated in multiple Every Day Counts initiatives hosted by FHWA, working collaboratively with the Federal agencies to help reduce fatalities in Mississippi and move safety projects through the design/construction process faster.
Enforcement

- On May 27, 2006, Mississippi became the 22nd State to implement a primary safety belt law (Mississippi Code Ann. §63-2-1). Effective, July 1, 2017, Mississippi joined other states by implementing an all passenger seatbelt law. Historically, most of the drivers and passengers that die in traffic crashes are not belted. Although safety belts cannot save all persons, it is estimated that fatalities are reduced 50 to 65 percent when safety belts are used, resulting in injuries rather than fatalities.
- In 2015, there were 565 occupant fatalities and 55% were not wearing safety belts. However, of the 76 young drivers and passengers ages 16 to 20 who were killed in 2015, 53% were unbelted. Many of these young motorists could have been saved with seat belt use. With sustained statewide law enforcement, coupled with public information and education, Mississippi stands poised to save hundreds of lives and reduce thousands of injuries each year from increased safety belt usage by motorists.
- The MS Office of Highway Safety (MOHS) funds enforcement activities over five blitz periods each year to conduct Saturation Patrols, Sobriety Checkpoints, and Selective Traffic Enforcement by local enforcement departments and all districts of MS Highway Patrol. The local departments are selected based on traffic record studies of crashes and citations such that traffic safety activities are data driven.
- Mississippi lawmakers passed a law targeting distracted drivers (Mississippi Code Ann. §63-33-1). This law prohibits all motorists from driving while using a handheld mobile telephone or portable electronic device to write, send, or read a text message, or access, read or post to a social network site.
Seatbelts, air bags, other restraint systems and anti-lock brakes have significantly contributed to reducing injuries and deaths from traffic crashes, but these technological advances are only a step in the process. Continued improvements in vehicle design are necessary to protect occupants, along with education and behavior modification. Effective and ongoing traffic enforcement is a key factor in improving or maintaining a community’s quality of life. As crime increases and more demands are placed on law enforcement agencies, the importance of effective traffic enforcement rises.

The Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration (FMCSA) provides grants to the Mississippi Highway Patrol, MDOT Office of Enforcement, and Mississippi Department of Revenue to conduct enforcement activities to reduce the number of commercial vehicle collisions on Mississippi’s roadways. The specific activities and locations are chosen by the agencies based on the location of inspection facilities, as well as commercial vehicle crash data information from the Fatality Analysis Reporting System (FARS) system.

Education

- The “Drive Sober or Get Pulled Over” Public Information and Education (PI&E) campaign to address driving under the influence (DUI) has been successful in increased DUI arrests statewide, particularly for offenders under 21.
- The most recent “Drive Sober or Get Pulled Over” PI&E campaign, coupled with strict traffic enforcement by State and local departments, identified that the State had made significant progress in reducing deaths and injuries on MS roadways.
- To help increase seatbelt use and reduce unrestrained fatalities, Mississippi continues to participate in the nationwide “Click It or Ticket” campaign held in the summer. This campaign has helped Mississippi raise its observed seatbelt use by nearly 10% over the last decade.
- Mississippi has a strong zero-tolerance statute aimed at underage drinking and has a grant funded DUI court-monitoring system with the Mississippi chapter of Mothers Against Drunk Driving (MADD). Impaired driving has been affected by the trends in nationwide increases in opioid use and greater acceptance in other States of legalized marijuana use.
- Alcohol impaired fatalities increased from 172 in 2014 to 175 in 2015. In 2015, 26% of all fatalities were alcohol impaired. MOHS plans to continue efforts to reduce overall crashes, fatal crashes, injuries and the economic losses caused by traffic crashes. Alcohol impaired traffic crashes will continue to be a priority in program planning for MOHS.
The “Survive Your Drive” Safety Education Program raises awareness of highway traffic safety issues faced by Mississippi motorists, including occupant protection/seat belt use, impaired driving and distracted driving. Safety professionals provide education and outreach at schools and community events across the state. Through presentations and simulator demonstrations, the public is educated with the driving knowledge and skills to make Mississippi highways safe. The program annually reaches more than 15,000 Mississippi residents through these presentations and demonstrations. The program also provides the public with Mississippi Department of Education-approved and common-core-aligned safety education lesson plans for use in middle and high school classrooms.

Emergency Medical Services (EMS)

- Statewide Trauma System has been established.
- Linking data between EMS, enforcement agencies, ambulance services, emergency departments, and hospitals to produce crash-outcome studies.
- Ensure the EMS management information system maintains the National EMS Information System (NEMSIS) Standards.

Data

- SAMS Version 2 (SAMSv2) will build and improve upon the foundation of SAMS Version 1. Since the implementation of SAMSv1, new algorithms and approaches to traffic safety have become available that will help improve the way SAMS identifies potential areas of crash concern and suggests mitigation alternates. SAMSv2 will add Safety Performance Functions as well as a multi-linear referencing system that will improve the output from SAMS to help to identify high hazard locations.
- The State of Mississippi is currently working to replace its existing electronic crash reporting software and data warehouse, ReportBeam, with a more robust application, eCrash. The new system will help the state become compliant with the Minimum Model Uniform Crash Criteria (MMUCC)’s 5th edition, ahead of the deadline to become MMUCC 4 compliant by April 2019.
1.2 SHSP History

FHWA first introduced the SHSP in the transportation funding bill in 2005 called the Safe, Accountable, Flexible, Efficient Transportation Equity Act: A Legacy for Users (SAFETEA-LU). This bill required that State’s develop a SHSP to receive federal transportation funding. The current transportation bill, the FAST Act (Fixing America’s Surface Transportation), continues the HSIP as a core federal program. The HSIP provides funding to States to implement safety related projects and programs. The SHSP is one of three main components of the HSIP. The SHSP is a statewide coordinated safety plan that provides a comprehensive framework for reducing highway fatalities and serious injuries on all public roads. This update is intended to replace the previous update of the Plan, completed in 2014.

2019 Edition SHSP

The 2019 SHSP is the second update to the original plan, which was completed in 2007. MDOT’s first update was implemented in 2014. The original plan and its updates were completed through a joint effort by MDOT and the Mississippi Department of Public Safety (MDPS). The most recent plan identified five critical emphasis areas and 33 critical strategies.

Mississippi traffic fatalities trended downward from 2007 to 2012 from 884 to 582 (34% decrease) and have trended upward from 2012 to 2016 from 582 to 687 (18% increase). National vehicle miles of travel (VMT) have seen similar trends during these time periods, just not to the same magnitude. This plan is intended to document the efforts to use data analysis, public outreach, and coordination with supporting/affected agencies to help update the emphasis areas.

1.3 SHSP Process

Similar to the prior editions of the SHSP, this update:

- Addresses the frequency, rate and primary factors contributing to fatalities on all roads in Mississippi,
- Is consistent with FHWA procedural guidance,
- Establishes a mission, vision, and goal for all safety partners in the State of Mississippi,
- Incorporates input provided by safety partners representing national, state, and local agencies; and private safety advocacy groups,
- Follows a data driven process that considers all users on all roads,
- Provides a guide for future safety investments, and
- Addresses the 4Es of safety: Engineering, Enforcement, Education, and Emergency medical services)
Figure 3 shows the process used to develop the Mississippi SHSP. Beginning with the crash analysis and concluding with the SHSP report, this approach is the culmination of more than a year of working with MDOT and its safety partners.

Figure 3. Mississippi SHSP Update Development Process

1.4 Partners

The 2019 Mississippi SHSP was developed through coordination with a variety of stakeholders from public and private agencies. Stakeholders outside of the traditional safety planning process (such as planning organizations, non-profit agencies, universities, and cities) were included when countermeasures were developed to address specific safety issues. Individuals representing the 4Es were also invited to participate in the SHSP process. Those representing other modes of transportation – bicycle, pedestrian, commercial vehicles, motorcycles, etc. – were asked to participate as well. The complete list of safety partners who participated in the development of the SHSP are listed in Table 1.
Table 1. Mississippi Safety Partners

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organization</th>
<th>Organization</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>American Academy of Pediatrics</td>
<td>Mississippi Judicial College</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Automobile Association (AAA)</td>
<td>Mississippi Municipal League</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMR Ambulance Services</td>
<td>Mississippi Office of Highway Safety</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Jackson, Biloxi, Brookhaven, Gulfport, Hattiesburg</td>
<td>Mississippi Office of State Aid Road Construction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Natchez, Olive Branch, Southaven, Tupelo, Vicksburg</td>
<td>Mississippi Operation Lifesaver</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)</td>
<td>Mississippi Police Chiefs’ Association</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration</td>
<td>Mississippi Railroad Association</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harrison County Road Department</td>
<td>Mississippi Road Builders Association</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hinds County Sheriff’s Office</td>
<td>Mississippi Safe Kids</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MASEP-MS Alcohol Safety Education Program</td>
<td>Mississippi Sheriffs’ Association</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meridian Police Department</td>
<td>Mississippi State University – Center for Advanced Vehicular Systems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metropolitan Planning Organizations - GRPC, Hattiesburg, Memphis, Central MS Planning and Development District</td>
<td>Mississippi State University – Social Science Research Center</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mississippi Association of Supervisors</td>
<td>Mothers Against Drunk Driving</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mississippi Brain Injury Association</td>
<td>MS Alcohol Beverage Control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mississippi Coroner-Medical Examiner’s Association</td>
<td>National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mississippi Department of Education</td>
<td>Office of the Attorney General</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mississippi Department of Health</td>
<td>Oxford Police Department/MS Law Enforcement Training Director</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mississippi Department of Mental Health</td>
<td>Preussel Research Group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mississippi Department of Public Safety (Including Planning and State Patrol)</td>
<td>Sherman Police Department</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mississippi Department of Revenue</td>
<td>Sobriety Trained Officers Representing Mississippi (STORM)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mississippi Department of Transportation</td>
<td>University of Alabama/CAPS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hinds County Sheriff’s Office</td>
<td>Mississippi Road Builders Association</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hinds County Sheriff’s Office</td>
<td>Mississippi Safe Kids</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MASEP-MS Alcohol Safety Education Program</td>
<td>Mississippi Sheriffs’ Association</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meridian Police Department</td>
<td>Mississippi State University – Center for Advanced Vehicular Systems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metropolitan Planning Organizations - GRPC, Hattiesburg, Memphis, Central MS Planning and Development District</td>
<td>Mississippi State University – Social Science Research Center</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mississippi Association of Supervisors</td>
<td>Mothers Against Drunk Driving</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mississippi Brain Injury Association</td>
<td>MS Alcohol Beverage Control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mississippi Coroner-Medical Examiner’s Association</td>
<td>National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mississippi Department of Education</td>
<td>Office of the Attorney General</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mississippi Department of Health</td>
<td>Oxford Police Department/MS Law Enforcement Training Director</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mississippi Department of Mental Health</td>
<td>Preussel Research Group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mississippi Department of Public Safety (Including Planning and State Patrol)</td>
<td>Sherman Police Department</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mississippi Department of Revenue</td>
<td>Sobriety Trained Officers Representing Mississippi (STORM)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mississippi Department of Transportation</td>
<td>University of Alabama/CAPS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1.5 National Context – The Focus is Results

FHWA encourages States to start with fundamentals to create a plan that can be successfully implemented and evaluated. This is consistent with FHWA’s vision of eliminating fatalities and serious injuries on the Nation’s roadways. FHWA has placed a focus on the most severe crashes – those crashes resulting in a fatality and/or life-changing injuries – using a data-driven process.

FHWA’s vision is intended to reverse the trend with the recent uptick in roadway deaths after a decade of reducing fatalities and serious injuries. In 2016, 37,461 people lost their lives on US roadways. FHWA’s goal is to work with each State to develop a safety culture to reverse this trend and reduce the number of fatalities on the Nation’s roads to zero.
1.6 Prior Strategies and Emphasis Areas

AASHTO published a nationally focused SHSP in 1997, which was updated in 2004. This national SHSP focused on 22 specific highway safety challenges, or emphasis areas, that were divided into the following six parts or categories: Drivers, Special Users, Vehicles, Highways, Emergency Services, and Management, as listed in the AASHTO table (Table 2) provided.

Table 2. AASHTO Strategic Highway Safety Plan's 22 Emphasis Areas

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part 1: Drivers</th>
<th>Part 4: Highways</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Instituting Graduated Licensing for Young Drivers</td>
<td>14. Reducing Vehicle-Train Crashes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Ensuring Drivers are Licensed and Fully Competent</td>
<td>15. Keeping Vehicles on the Roadway</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Sustaining Proficiency in Older Drivers</td>
<td>16. Minimizing the Consequences of Leaving the Road</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Reducing Impaired Driving</td>
<td>Intersections</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Keeping Drivers Alert</td>
<td>18. Reducing Head-On and Across-Median Crashes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Increasing Seat Belt Usage and Improving Airbag Effectiveness</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part 2: Special Users</th>
<th>Part 5: Emergency Medical Services</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9. Make Walking and Street Crossing Safe</td>
<td>20. Enhancing Emergency Medical Capabilities to</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Ensuring Safer Bicycle Travel</td>
<td>Increase Survivability</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part 3: Vehicles</th>
<th>Part 6: Management</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11. Improving Motorcycle Safety and Increasing Motorcycle Awareness</td>
<td>21. Improving Information and Decision Support</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. Making Truck Travel Safer</td>
<td>Systems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Management Systems</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: AASHTO Strategic Highway Safety Plan, 1997 and 2004
2.0 Critical Emphasis Areas

2.1 Crash Data Evaluation

Data Overview – Fatal Crashes on Mississippi’s Roads
There are 77,027 miles of public streets and roadways in Mississippi, of which 10,890 miles are state maintained and a part of the state highway system. According to a detailed analysis of crashes from 2012 through 2016, it was determined that 65 percent of the statewide traffic fatalities occur on the state system, making roads under the state’s jurisdiction the most at-risk part of Mississippi’s roadway system. Even though fatal crashes predominantly occur on state roads, Mississippi understands the importance of creating a SHSP that covers the safety of all road users on all roads in the state.

Figure 4. Comparison of Miles of Roadway, Vehicle Miles Traveled and Fatal Crashes
Mississippi’s State Highway Critical Emphasis Areas

A more detailed analysis of fatal crashes was conducted to provide a complete overview of the crash types that represent both the greatest need for safety investment as well as the greatest opportunity to reduce crashes. This analysis was completed using data from the FARS database.

The data used for this analysis came from five years (2012-2016) of crash records and included 3,166 fatal crashes. This data was reviewed and then disaggregated into AASHTO’s 22 critical emphasis areas discussed in Section 1. The number of fatalities or fatal crashes in each critical emphasis area (CEA) were evaluated. Based on the results of the analysis, the top CEA’s for Mississippi are as follows:

- Lane Departure Crashes (72%)
- Unbelted Vehicle Occupants (47%)
- Drug/Alcohol Impaired Drivers (31%)
- Intersection Crashes (30%)
- Unlicensed/Suspended License/Revoked License (USR) Drivers (19%)

The State of Mississippi believes that these five emphasis areas represent the greatest potential to significantly reduce the number of severe crashes throughout Mississippi. Table 3 identifies the detail of Mississippi’s fatalities and fatal crashes as they relate to AASHTO’s emphasis areas.
## Table 3. Mississippi Fatalities/Fatal Crashes by Emphasis Area

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Emphasis Area</th>
<th>Statewide (All Roads)</th>
<th>State Roads (Interstate, US, and State Routes)</th>
<th>County Roads</th>
<th>City Streets</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percent</td>
<td>No.</td>
<td>Percent</td>
<td>No.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Drivers</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Young Drivers (under 21)</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>55%</td>
<td>114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unlicensed Drivers</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>601</td>
<td>57%</td>
<td>343</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Older Drivers (65 and older)</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>351</td>
<td>73%</td>
<td>256</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aggressive Driving and Speed Related</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>477</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>177</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impaired Driving (drug and alcohol)</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>990</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>593</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inattentive, Distracted, Asleep Drivers</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unbelted Occupants</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>1,497</td>
<td>61%</td>
<td>909</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Special Users</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pedestrian Fatalities</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>279</td>
<td>72%</td>
<td>202</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bicyclists Fatalities</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>54%</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Vehicles</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motorcycle Crashes</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>199</td>
<td>53%</td>
<td>105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heavy Vehicle Crashes</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>92%</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Highways</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Train-Vehicle Crashes</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lane Departure Crashes</td>
<td>72%</td>
<td>1,857</td>
<td>58%</td>
<td>1,081</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intersection Crashes</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>764</td>
<td>71%</td>
<td>541</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work Zone Crashes</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>95%</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: FARS/SAMS database.
2.2 Driver Behavior Emphasis Areas

Unlicensed Drivers

From 2012 through 2016, there were 601 traffic fatalities that were the result of crashes involving unlicensed drivers or drivers with suspended or revoked licenses (USR). USR driving-related fatalities accounted for 19% of all fatalities on Mississippi roadways. Several key facts associated with fatal USR driver crashes include:

- 43% of all fatalities resulting from a crash involving a USR driver occurred on local roadways,
- The highest percentage of gender and age groups were 26-35 year-old males (14%), and
- Of the people involved in fatal crashes with USR drivers, 404 (67%) were not wearing seat belts.
- 65% of the USR fatalities were single vehicle crashes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Jurisdiction Classification</th>
<th>Fatalities</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Interstates</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US Highway</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Highways</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Roads</td>
<td>258</td>
<td>43%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total by Type</td>
<td>601</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Drug and Alcohol Impaired Driving

From 2012 through 2016, there were 990 traffic fatalities as a result of crashes involving drivers impaired by either drugs and/or alcohol. Impaired driving-related fatalities accounted for 31% of all fatalities on Mississippi roadways. Several key facts associated with fatal impaired driver crashes include:

- 47% of all fatalities resulting from a crash involving an impaired driver occurred on local roadways,
- The highest percentage of gender and age group drivers were 26-35 year-old males (20% of all drivers),
- 81% of people killed in impaired driver crashes were drivers and 67% were not wearing seat belts, and
- Single vehicle crashes accounted for 75% of the fatalities for impaired driving.
Unbelted Vehicle Occupants

From 2012 through 2016, there were 1,497 traffic fatalities as a result of crashes involving unbelted vehicle occupants. Unbelted occupant related fatalities accounted for 47% of all fatalities on Mississippi roadways during this five-year period. Several key facts associated with fatal unbelted occupant crashes include:

- 52% of all fatalities resulting from a crash involving an unbelted occupant occurred on State or US Highways,
- The highest percentage of gender and age group fatalities were 26-35 year-old males (14% of all fatalities), with men comprising 71% of all fatalities,
- 35% of people killed in unbelted occupant fatal crashes were totally ejected from the vehicle and 8% were partially ejected, and
- Single vehicle crashes accounted for 69% of the fatalities for unbelted vehicle occupants.

2.3 Highway Emphasis Areas

Lane Departure Crashes

During the five-year study period, there were 1,857 fatal crashes (72%) on Mississippi roadways related to lane departure crashes. These lane departure crashes include single vehicle run-off-the-road, and head-on crashes. Significant contributing factors were identified to include:

- Local roads accounted for the highest percentage (41%) of all lane departure crashes,
- 60% of fatalities in lane departure crashes were unbelted,
- 76% of the drivers in these fatal crashes were male and the largest age group represented by drivers were 26-35 year-old males (14% of all drivers), and
- 76% of lane departure crashes were single vehicle run-off-the-road crashes.
Intersection Crashes

From 2012 through 2016, there were 764 fatal crashes that were intersection related. Intersection related crashes accounted for 30% of all fatalities on Mississippi roadways during this five-year period. Several key facts associated with fatal intersection crashes included:

- 77% of intersection related crashes occurred on State or US Highways,
- 59% of the intersection related fatalities occurred on 2-lane roadways,
- 69% of the drivers in these fatal crashes were male, and
- The largest age group represented by drivers in intersection crashes were >65 for both male and female drivers (29% of all drivers).

2.4 Additional Emphasis Area Considerations

Distracted Driving

Though not selected as an emphasis area for the state, Mississippi did want to address the emphasis area of Distracted Driving in this plan. Distracted driving has become a nationwide problem with cell phones usage and in-car entertainment/distractions at an all-time high. The key issue for the state is finding a way to measure and quantify the effects that distraction has on fatal and serious injury crashes. Over the five-year period that this plan is in place, Mississippi, like many states, anticipates technical advances to help quantify driver distraction and to incorporate qualitative measures to reduce this distraction level and correspondingly a reduction in the associated loss of life.

Data Quality

Data quality is another area that Mississippi intends to place additional emphasis on in the next five years. Though data quality is not a quantifiable emphasis area like the five selected for this plan, the quality of the data has relevance. As data quality improves in the state, so will our understanding of the crashes occurring and how we can ultimately reduce these serious crashes. As mentioned previously, MDOT and MDPS are both currently working on improvements to their crash data reporting and analysis systems, with the intention that in the years to come, the process from data capture to statewide data screening will improve greatly, aiding in the goal of reducing fatal crashes.
3.0 Stakeholders Meeting

3.1 Background

Following the identification of the Critical Emphasis Areas (CEAs), a comprehensive list of potential safety improvement strategies was assembled for each Emphasis Area, and a prioritization exercise was conducted at Mississippi’s stakeholder meeting.

The source of the initial list of potential safety strategies was the National Cooperative Highway Research Program (NCHRP) Report 500: Guidance for Implementation of the AASHTO Strategic Highway Safety Plan. This series of guides was developed to assist state and local highway agencies in reducing the number of crashes in targeted safety emphasis areas. The guides correspond to the emphasis areas outlined in AASHTO’s Strategic Highway Safety Plan. Each guide contains a “best practices” list of strategies with value added information including relative implementation costs and the expected safety effectiveness. The expected safety effectiveness of each strategy is assigned into one of the following categories:

- Proven - widely deployed, subject to a rigorous statistical testing, and consistent results from statistical tests.
- Tried - widely deployed but either lacking the statistical testing or with inconclusive results.
- Experimental - too narrowly deployed to provide statistically significant results.
The information about implementation costs and effectiveness are key components in the development of statewide safety programs.

### 3.2 Consultation

The Mississippi Department of Transportation hosted a stakeholder meeting on July 24, 2018, to present identified critical emphasis areas, promote discussion among attendees, solicit countermeasure strategies and recommendations, and to document stakeholder preferences and concerns regarding methodologies for promoting the strategic targeting of emphasis area crashes. The stakeholders represent a variety of local, state, federal, and private entities.

In total, 83 representatives from 31 entities attended the meeting, including representatives from these agencies and organizations:

- Center for Advanced Public Safety (University of Alabama)
- Central Mississippi Planning and Development District
- Children’s of Mississippi / Safe Kids Mississippi / MS Youth Highway Safety
- City of Biloxi Police Dept
- City of Jackson
- City of Natchez
- City of Vicksburg
- Federal Highway Administration
- Federal Motor Carrier Administration
- Gulf Regional Planning Commission
- Memphis Metropolitan Planning Organization
- MS Alcohol Safety Education Program (MASEP)
- MS Association of Supervisors
- MS Dept of Education
- MS Dept of Health
- MS Dept of Health - BEMS
- MS Dept of Health - Trauma System
- MS Dept of Mental Health
- MS Dept of Public Safety
- MS Dept of Revenue
- MS Dept of Transportation
- MS Highway Patrol
- MS Judicial College
- MS Office of the Attorney General
- MS Office of Highway Safety
- MS Railroad Association
- MS State Fire Academy
- MS State Fire Marshall's Office
- MS Trucking Association
- Office of State Aid Road Construction
- Stennis Institute of Government
3.3 Stakeholders Meeting

A multi-agency and multi-disciplinary workshop was organized to assist with identification and prioritization of a comprehensive set of strategies. The workshop provided the lead agencies, MDOT and MDPS, with direct feedback on stakeholders’ viewpoints on important strategies and programs to implement. Overviews of the process and prioritization results are provided in the following sections.

Workshop Details

On July 24, 2018, MDOT and MDPS met with other statewide safety partners (listed above) in Jackson at the Mississippi Agriculture and Forestry Museum to engage in discussion about specific emphasis areas for addressing Mississippi’s fatal crash statistics.

The agenda from the stakeholder’s meeting is provided in Table 4.
### Table 4. Agenda for Safety Strategies Workshop Held July 24, 2018

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Duration</th>
<th>Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Registration</td>
<td>60 min.</td>
<td>8:00 - 9:00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Introductions/Welcome</td>
<td>MDOT</td>
<td>15 min.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Introductions/Welcome</td>
<td>DPS</td>
<td>15 min.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Introductions/Welcome</td>
<td>OHS</td>
<td>15 min.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Introductions/Welcome</td>
<td>FHWA</td>
<td>15 min.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overview - Why are we here?</td>
<td>Neel-Schaffer</td>
<td>15 min.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop Objectives</td>
<td>MDOT</td>
<td>15 min.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop Objectives</td>
<td></td>
<td>10:00 - 10:15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morning Break &amp; Assemble in Small Groups</td>
<td>15 min.</td>
<td>10:15 - 10:30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morning Breakout Session</td>
<td>75 min.</td>
<td>10:30 - 11:45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lunch</td>
<td>45 min.</td>
<td>11:45 - 12:30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Afternoon Breakout Session</td>
<td>75 min.</td>
<td>12:30 - 1:45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Afternoon Break</td>
<td>15 min.</td>
<td>1:45 - 2:00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voting, Feedback, Wrap Up, and Thank you</td>
<td>MDOT</td>
<td>60 min.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voting, Feedback, Wrap Up, and Thank you</td>
<td></td>
<td>2:00 - 3:00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The meeting started with a general overview session, covering topics such as Mississippi crash trends, program updates on what various agencies and departments are currently doing to combat crash trends, and other relevant statistics on how in-place programs are affecting issues such as impaired driving and seatbelt use. After the general overview, the group was briefed on what was found in the data analysis, and why specific emphasis areas were selected.

Following the overview session and discussion, the participants were divided into breakout sessions focused on the five targeted emphasis areas. In those groups, the safety advocates discussed strategies for reducing crashes in each of those areas, what has worked in previous years in their personal experiences, what has not worked, and other general discussion/dialogue. At the end of the session, a list of preferred safety strategies was developed based on group discussion.

A second breakout session was held in the afternoon, allowing participants to attend more than one emphasis area discussion. This second session followed a similar format as the morning session, allowing for discussion of crash trends, specific emphasis area issues, and detailed discussion for countermeasures.

Following the afternoon emphasis area discussion, the group reconvened, and attendees were briefed on the outcomes of each group’s discussion and proposed countermeasures. Attendees then voted on the finalized list of strategies, allowing their input on what they felt would help Mississippi reduce fatal crashes in the targeted areas over the next five years.
4.0 Priority Safety Strategies

With the crash data analysis and stakeholders’ meeting complete, MDOT and MDPS staff evaluated and screened strategies from the initial and voted-on lists of strategies developed from the NCHRP Report 500 series and discussion among safety partners. The result of these efforts is a comprehensive list of strategies that the agencies feel will best serve the crash reduction efforts of statewide agencies involved in this process. The implementation of these high priority strategies will be the primary focus of safety investments throughout the life of this Plan and represent the greatest opportunity for the continued reduction of severe crashes on Mississippi roadways. The general process used to develop safety strategies is shown in Figure 5.

Figure 5. Mississippi’s Safety Strategies
4.1 Strategies for Reducing Fatal Intersection Crashes

The greatest opportunity to reduce fatal and serious injury crashes at state and local roadway intersections are through geometric improvements, improvements to the intersection traffic control, enhancing the visibility of the intersection, and making low cost, systemic improvements. This plan identifies several strategies and countermeasures with quantifiable crash reduction benefits as outlined in the Crash Modification Factor (CMF) warehouse. These strategies should include, but not be limited to:

*Strategy 1 – Modify existing traffic control at an intersection*

In locations where crash severity and patterns warrant, installation of countermeasures such as roundabouts, Restricted Crossing U-turns (RCUTs, also known as J-Turns), and other innovative measures can be ideal in reducing fatal crashes. MDOT bases a majority of its project programming approach for intersections on FHWA’s list of proven safety countermeasures, of which roundabouts and RCUTs are two.
**Strategy 2 – Add to or upgrade traffic control in place at an intersection**

In certain locations, upgrades to the existing traffic control at an intersection can have a positive safety benefit. Again, MDOT intends to focus its efforts on FHWA’s proven countermeasures, which includes Flashing Yellow Arrow upgrades to signalized intersections, updated clearance intervals at signalized intersections, advance warning of “red” for traffic approaching a signal as the cycle is changing to a “red” indication on a high speed roadway, as well as the installation of retro-reflective backplates at rural and/or isolated signals.

**Strategy 3 – Add to or upgrade existing geometry at an intersection**

Geometric design improvements can help to improve deficient conditions that may be creating safety hazards and increasing fatal crash rates. Improving existing conditions to meet geometric design standards can be an expensive alternative, but in the right circumstances very effective at reducing the potential for serious injury crashes.

**Strategy 4 – Enhance intersection warning and visibility through the installation of low cost systemic signage and striping upgrades**

Replacing and enhancing warning and advisory signage at intersections can be a beneficial low-cost strategy for reducing intersection crashes. Adding sign enhancements, as well as restriping and installing raised pavement markers (RPMs) are packaged together as one of FHWA’s proven countermeasures.
**Strategy 5 - Improve sight distance at an intersection**
Improving drivers’ views of intersections and crossing/entering traffic is a proven method of reducing crash rates. Enhancing clear zones, removing obstructions, and clearing vegetation can be effective ways of improving sight distance.

**Strategy 6 – Access Management**
Reducing the number of conflict points and requiring shared access among adjacent property owners has been proven to be very effective at reducing crash rates. These countermeasures are enforceable through MDOT’s Access Management Manual, which outlines specific guidelines for access/spacing of various types at or around at-grade intersections.
Strategy 7 – Implement Local Road Safety Plans
Local Road Safety Plans provide a framework for identifying, analyzing, and prioritizing roadway safety improvements on local roads. They are tailored to local issues and needs and help to prioritize issues, risks, actions and improvements. These plans have a proven track record of helping to reduce fatal and serious injury crashes on the local road network.

4.2 Strategies for Reducing Fatal Lane Departure Crashes
Lane departure crashes constitute the greatest area of need and potential for reduction on Mississippi’s roadways. This plan identifies several strategies and countermeasures with quantifiable crash reduction benefits as outlined in the Crash Modification Factor (CMF) warehouse. MDOT and other involved safety partners realize that the greatest opportunities to reduce crashes in the area include, but are not limited to, the following strategies:

Strategy 1 – Installation of edge line rumble stripe or other delineation on rural roadways
Rumble stripes are a proven cost-effective safety method to help reduce run-off-the-road crashes in rural areas to address the high percentage of fatal crashes resulting from vehicles leaving the roadway. All rural roads are candidates for edge line delineation to help reduce the single vehicle run-off-the-road crashes, particularly when resurfacing of these roads takes place.

Strategy 2 – Installation of center line rumble strip/stripe or other delineation on rural roadways
Centerline rumble strips/stripes are very cost effective and provide an audible warning to drivers helping to address lane departure crashes, the highest percentage of fatal crashes in Mississippi. This countermeasure has a proven track record of reducing lane departure crashes - particularly run-off-the-road left, head-on, and sideswipe crashes, and thus reducing fatalities on roadways where this countermeasure has been implemented.
Strategy 3 – Install low cost measures including striping, signing and raised pavement markers on roadways

Improved lane markings, roadway signing and reflective raised pavement markers are a low cost method to improve the visibility and roadway alignment of roadways, particularly in rural areas where the majority of fatal crashes are occurring in Mississippi. Many of the crashes are single-vehicle crashes, and providing a more defined, visible roadway is proven to reduce fatal crashes. Signing/striping improvements are some of the most cost-effective methods to reduce fatal crashes on roadways.

Strategy 4 – Improve roadway geometry

Improving roadway geometrics can be one of the more expensive alternates for reducing fatal crashes, but also can be the most effective by eliminating or correcting geometrically deficient alignments/intersections, thus removing (or significantly reducing) the hazard. Improving roadway geometrics is recommended when other more cost-effective methods have not been successful in addressing the safety deficiencies.

In areas where low cost, systemic improvements or edge line delineation have not proven effective, it may become necessary to consider the realignment of severe curves on specific roadways. Because of the time and cost to implement this countermeasure, this strategy is recommended to be pursued after other strategies on the list have been tried.
Strategy 5 – Improving the roadside shoulder and clear zone to help reduce the consequences of leaving the roadway
Providing sufficient clear zones adjacent to the roadway can allow drivers the opportunity to recover from their departure and return to the road or come to a stop without impacting a fixed object. Tree removal and above-ground utility relocation can be very effective at reducing the impacts of lane departures.

Strategy 6 – Installation of a median barrier
Providing a cable, concrete or other median barrier can be an effective strategy to reducing run off the road left and head-on fatalities on high-speed, divided highways and interstates. Cable barrier in particular has proven to be effective at significantly reducing cross-median crashes, which are often fatal.

Strategy 7 – Increase the use of edge and centerline rumble stripe or equivalent enhanced delineation on local roadways
Local rural roadways make up a large portion of Mississippi’s roadway inventory. Often, due to budget and roadway condition, edge line delineation such as rumble strip/stripes are not installed. Rumble stripes are a proven cost-effective safety method to help reduce run-off-the-road crashes to address the high volume of fatal crashes from vehicles leaving the roadway. Local roadways are candidates for enhanced edge line delineation to help reduce the substantial proportion of lane departure crashes occurring on these roadways in the state.
Strategy 8 – Improve Roadway Friction
As roadways’ pavements age, areas of polishing can begin to contribute to lane departure crashes, particularly in curves and/or during wet weather. High Friction Surface Treatment (HFST) and other specialty pavement treatments are effective at reducing and sometimes eliminating completely, the targeted crash types.

Strategy 9 – Implement local road safety plans
Local Road Safety Plans provide a framework for identifying, analyzing, and prioritizing roadway safety improvements on local roads. They are tailored to local issues and needs and help to prioritize issues, risks, actions and improvements. These plans have a proven track record of helping to reduce fatal and serious injury crashes on the local road network.

4.3 Strategies for Reducing the Number of Unbelted Vehicle Occupant Fatalities

Strategy 1 - Statewide Child Passenger Safety (CPS) Coordination Program:
Provide a comprehensive Statewide CPS Coordination program; Conduct CPS Surveys; Fund the Mississippi Department of Health to provide child passenger seats; Provide funding for law enforcement programs to conduct specific High-Visibility Enforcement (HVE) seat belt and child passenger seat enforcement checkpoints and saturation patrols; and assign MOHS staff to manage enforcement and outreach grants, promote seatbelt safety, and provide assistance where needed for the Occupant Protection (OP) Program.

Strategy 2 - Child Passenger Seat Technician Training
Child Passenger Seat Technician Training will provide training to certify technicians on how to properly install child passenger seats. The training will help explain the use and effectiveness of properly installed child safety seats and provide certifications for technicians. MOHS will plan to:

- Increase training opportunities and retention of child passenger safety (CPS) technicians and instructors;
- Continue to provide assistance to Safe Kids Mississippi to provide training opportunities to individuals and agencies allowing for Child Passenger Safety Seat Technician certification;
- Provide the NHTSA approved CPS training for law enforcement to build the base for Child Passenger Safety Seat Technicians in the State;
- Increase the number of Emergency Medical service and Fire Department personnel who are CPS fitting stations; and
- Increase the number of CPS checkpoint locations across Mississippi and in target areas identified with low child safety seat usage rates.
Strategy 3 - Child Passenger Seat Enforcement
Increase proper use of CPS in motor vehicles; Increase CPS checkpoint locations throughout the State; Conduct pre and post seatbelt surveys; Fund law enforcement programs for day and night enforcement; Assign MOHS staff to manage OP enforcement and outreach grants; Promote seatbelt safety through MDOT’s Survive Your Drive Safety Program; Promote seatbelt safety through earned and paid media; and provide technical assistance when needed for the OP Program.

Strategy 4 - Public Information and Education
Improve education on new and/or updated laws related to OP and Child Restraints; supply services through the Law Enforcement Liaison (LEL) Program; and provide information through MAHSL (Mississippi Association of Highway Safety Leaders) Meetings and special MOHS Task Forces; Provide educational activities and information through MDOT’s Survive Your Drive Safety Education Program.

Strategy 5 - Teen Driver Seatbelt Program
Develop and promote a statewide education and media campaign that will focus on teen seatbelt use and increasing seatbelt usage rates among teens. Provide public information and education programs with an emphasis in teen occupant protection, and fund law enforcement programs to focus on teen seatbelt use.

Strategy 6 – High Visibility Enforcement
Support sustained HVE of occupant protection laws, which includes supporting the National OP Enforcement Campaign, Click It or Ticket (CIOT). Provide public information and education programs with an emphasis in occupant protection. Develop and promote a comprehensive media campaign for the CIOT mobilization and develop and promote a comprehensive media campaign for a night-time enforcement mobilization.

4.4 Strategies for Reducing Impaired Driving Fatalities

Strategy 1 - Selective Traffic Enforcement Programs (STEP)
Fund Impaired Driving checkpoints and/or saturation patrols; ID project agencies within a high-risk location to plan to conduct at least one special ID enforcement operation per month; and Distribute National Impaired Driving Campaign Blitz information/reporting packets.

Each project will generate earned media and will plan to use the earned media before, during and after scheduled high visibility enforcement efforts conducted during the National Impaired Driving Blitz Campaigns and State holiday campaigns.
Strategy 2 - High Visibility Enforcement (HVE)
Implement activities in support of national highway safety targets to reduce fatal and serious injury crashes. All programs are required to complete the HVE compliance form in the grant agreement, which defines the mobilization and sustained enforcement activities; Enforcement agencies will be advised to ensure the checkpoint has maximum visibility from each direction and has sufficient illumination to function safely during night inspection along with the use of reflective vest (use of vest outlined by MDOT); Enforcement efforts from county, local law enforcement and the MHP are planned to be concentrated in areas that have been identified as high driving fatality and severe injury crash locations in Mississippi; Seek to expand in the areas of enforcement, training, public awareness and community outreach, etc., in an effort to address impaired highway safety issues. Fund special wave grants for law enforcement.

Strategy 3 - National Blitz
Participate in the National Blitz campaign; Distribute public information and education materials; Fund enforcement to multiple agencies (checkpoints/saturation patrols); Fund full time DUI Officers; Fund STEP HVE activities; Issue press releases and participate in earned media; and Fund paid media.

Strategy 4 - Training
Continue funding the MOHS Law Enforcement (LE) Training Program; Provide classes free of cost for law enforcement; and provide technical support for law enforcement agencies through statewide LEL and LEL coordinators.

Strategy 5 - Evaluation
MOHS will evaluate the programs to see that projects that are funded are having the desired effect on the Statewide ID program; Evaluate grant funded impaired driving activities; review monthly cost and activity reports; review progress reports; conduct in-house and on-site monitoring; and review surveys and analysis of data collected.

Strategy 6 - DUI-(TSRP)
Judicial Training; DUI Outreach/Court Monitoring; continue funding a Traffic Safety Resource Prosecutor (TSRP) to assist with training for prosecutors and law enforcement; work in conjunction with other ID programs; and address the decline in impaired driving (DUI) conviction rates throughout the State.

Strategy 7 - Public Information and Enforcement
Implement educational ID programs aimed at reducing the number of impaired drivers under the age of 21, to include parent education; continue funding and support for MDOT’s Survive Your Drive Safety Education program, which teaches the consequences of impaired driving through
interactive experiences; continue supporting youth ID programs across the State, with a focus in youth, teens and young adults; continue funding and support MOHS youth programs to reach youth through peer to peer education and programs across the State; continue to support high school, college and university youth programs to reach youth through peer to peer education; fund and conduct internal and external education programs; and provide driver education materials and information.

Strategy 8 - Education
Improve education on new and/or updated laws related to alcohol/drug impaired driving; supply services through the LEL Program and Traffic Safety Resource Prosecutor Program; provide information through Mississippi Association of Highway Safety Leaders (MAHSL) meetings and special MOHS Task Forces; provide education and information on impaired driving through MDOT’s Survive Your Drive Safety Education Program; enforce Underage Drinking Laws through enforcement and education; and provide Prosecutorial and Judicial Training through the following programs:
- TSRP
- Conferences
- Judicial College; and Mississippi Alcohol Safety Education Program (MASEP).
4.5 Strategies for Reducing Unlicensed, Suspended, or Revoked (USR) License Drivers

Strategy 1 – Apply Special Enforcement Practices/Increased Enforcement in Selected Areas
Identify areas with high levels of USR drivers and provide license check points with officers to help keep offenders off the public roadway system.

Strategy 2 – Equalize Penalty & Improve Tracking for USR driving
Many drivers choose not to be licensed since fines/penalties for unlicensed driving are less in Mississippi if the driver has never been licensed. The tracking of offenders is also more difficult for offenders who have never been licensed, as there is no record in the system to attach/track penalties or traffic tickets. Developing a tracking system for unlicensed (never licensed) drivers would help to attach penalties to the offender’s driving record to identify repeat offenses/crimes. Increasing the fines/penalties for drivers who have never been licensed could be an incentive to get these unlicensed (never licensed) drivers licensed.

Strategy 3 – Ignition Interlock Device
While ignition interlock devices are commonly used in DUI offences/penalties, technology could provide a system where a valid driver’s license would be required to start/operate a motor vehicle on the public road system. While we are not aware of a system currently in place that would provide such a service, the potential for a digital system that would implement a driver’s license restriction is not outside of the realm of possibility in this digital age.
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Statewide, Mississippi had 3,169 fatalities from 2012-2016, with 1,497 of these (47%) unbelted.

What are the Contributing Factors?

The Vehicle Occupant

- Men were 71% (1,068 of 1,497) of unbelted vehicle occupant fatalities.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age Group</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>≤ 13</td>
<td>27 (2%)</td>
<td>25 (2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 - 20</td>
<td>138 (9%)</td>
<td>65 (4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21 - 25</td>
<td>156 (10%)</td>
<td>42 (3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26 - 35</td>
<td>204 (14%)</td>
<td>85 (6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36 – 45</td>
<td>161 (11%)</td>
<td>74 (5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46 – 55</td>
<td>167 (11%)</td>
<td>57 (4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56 – 65</td>
<td>112 (7%)</td>
<td>40 (3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66+</td>
<td>103 (7%)</td>
<td>41 (3%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Gender Totals 1,068 429

- 528 (35%) of the unbelted vehicle occupants that were killed were totally ejected during the crash-359 male/169 female.

- 114 (8%) of the unbelted vehicle occupants that were killed were partially ejected during the crash-91 male/23 female.

The Driver

- Men were drivers in 77% of unbelted fatal crash. The largest age group for men drivers was 26-35, at 20%.

Crash Type

- 69.4% (1,039 of 1,497) of unbelted fatalities occurred during a single vehicle crash. Head-on crashes were the second most frequent crash type and accounted for 11% (163 of 1,497) of fatalities.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Crash Type</th>
<th>Fatalities</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Single Vehicle</td>
<td>1,039</td>
<td>69.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Head-On &amp; Side-swap (Opp)</td>
<td>195</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angle</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>8.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rear End &amp; Side-swap (Same)</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other/Unknown</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Facility Type

- Of all jurisdictions, local roads accounted for the greatest number of unbelted fatalities (588 of 1,497; 39%).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Jurisdiction Classification</th>
<th>Fatalities</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Interstates</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US Highway</td>
<td>319</td>
<td>21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Highways</td>
<td>457</td>
<td>31%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Roads</td>
<td>588</td>
<td>39%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total by Area Type</td>
<td>1,497</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The posted speed limit was 45 mph or higher for 78% of the unbelted fatalities.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Posted Speed Limit</th>
<th>Fatalities</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>45 mph</td>
<td>281</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55 mph</td>
<td>541</td>
<td>36%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65 mph</td>
<td>209</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70 mph</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For interstate crashes, unbelted represented 44% of all interstate fatalities.
Objectives and Strategies for Resolving the Problem

Three objectives were identified for the occupant restraint area:
1. Initiate programs to maximize use of occupant restraints by all vehicle occupants
2. Insure that child and infant restraints are properly used
3. Provide access to appropriate information, materials, and guidelines for those implementing programs to increase occupant restraint use

The intent of these objectives is to enable primarily local and regional entities, but also entire states, to implement programs to increase use of restraints and to ensure that those systems are properly used. Targeted programs to increase restraint usage have been proven effective. Localities in some states have implemented programs that have increased local restraint use by 20 to 30 percentage points over statewide averages at the start of their program. Entire states have also implemented programs that have increased use substantially.

The combination of enforcement and public information campaigns appears to be the key to achieving meaningful, lasting increases in restraint usage. Studies by the Insurance Institute for Highway Safety (IIHS) (Insurance Institute for Highway Safety, 2001) show that public education efforts alone, without an enforcement component, are generally not successful.

- Maximize Use of Occupant Restraints by All Vehicle Occupants
  - Conduct highly publicized enforcement campaigns to maximize restraint use
  - Provide enhanced public education to population groups with lower than average restraint use rates
  - Encourage the enactment of local laws that will permit standard enforcement of restraint laws

- Insure that Restraints, Especially Child and Infant Restraints, are Properly Used
  - Provide community locations for the instruction in proper child restraint use, including both public safety agencies and health care providers, that are almost always available
  - Conduct high-profile “child restraint inspection” events at multiple community locations
  - Train law enforcement personnel to check for proper child restraint use in all motorist encounters

- Provide Access to Appropriate Information Materials and Guidelines for those Implementing Programs to Increase Occupant Use
  - Create state-level clearing houses for materials that offer guidance in implementing programs to increase restraint use

Source: NCHRP, 500: A Guide for Increasing Seatbelt Use
Statewide, Mississippi had 3,169 fatalities from 2012-2016. Lane departure crash fatalities totaled 2,068 (65%) of the statewide total.

What are the Contributing Factors?

**Road and Area Type**
- Combining rural and urban roadways, local roads accounted for the greatest number of lane departure fatalities (41%). The jurisdiction with the second highest number of lane departure fatalities was State Highways with 33%.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Jurisdiction Classification</th>
<th>Fatalities</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Interstates</td>
<td>217</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US Highway</td>
<td>329</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Highways</td>
<td>676</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Roads/Other</td>
<td>846</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total by Area Type</strong></td>
<td><strong>2,068</strong></td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- All together, 82% (1,693 of 2,068) of fatalities occurred on roadways with a posted speed limit of 45 mph or greater. The top three are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Posted Speed Limit</th>
<th>Fatalities</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>45 mph</td>
<td>393</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55 mph</td>
<td>810</td>
<td>39%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65 mph</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Location**
- 1,693 fatalities (82%) were on roadways with a posted speed limit of at least 45 mph.
- 80% (1,660 of 2,068) of lane departure fatalities occurred on 2-lane roadways, with another 18% (375 of 2,068) on 4-lane roadways.
- 26% (552 of 2,068) of lane departure fatalities occurred on segments of road reported as “curved.”

**Contributing Factors**
- 60% (1,237 of 2,068) of the vehicle occupant fatalities in lane departure crashes were not using safety belts. By gender, 61% of males and 56% of females killed were unbelted.
- 52% of lane departure fatalities were during daylight conditions and 44% during dark driving conditions.

**The Driver**
- There were 1,631 drivers involved in a fatal lane departure crash. Of these, approximately 76% were male.
- The highest age group represented was 26-35 year old drivers at 19%.
- 11% of drivers involved in a fatal lane departure crash were under the age of 21.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age Group</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>26 - 35</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36 - 45</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46 - 55</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56 - 65</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66+</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Strategies for Preventing or Reducing Fatal Lane Departure Crashes

Run-Off-Road Crashes
- Install shoulder rumble strips
- Install edge line “profiled pavement markings”, edge line rumble strips or modified shoulder rumble strips on roads with narrow or no paved shoulders
- Install mid-lane rumble strips
- Provided enhanced shoulder or in-lane delineation and marking for sharp curves
- Provide improved highway geometry for horizontal curves
- Provide enhanced pavement markings
- Provide skid resistant pavements
- Apply shoulder treatments
  - Eliminate shoulder drop offs
  - Widen and/or pave shoulders
- Design safer slopes and ditches to prevent rollovers
- Remove/relocate objects in hazardous locations
- Delineate trees or utility poles with retroreflective tape
- Improve design of roadside hardware (i.e. Guardrail)
- Improve design and application of barrier and attenuation systems

Head-On Crashes
- Install centerline rumble strips for two-lane roads
- Install provided thermoplastic strips for centerlines
- Provide wider cross sections on two-lane roads
- Provide center two-way left-turn lanes for four- and two-lane roads
- Reallocate total two-lane roadway width (lane and shoulder) to include a narrow buffer median
- Use alternating passing lanes or four-lane sections at key locations
- Install median barrier for narrow-width medians on multi-lane roads

Horizontal Curves
- Provide advanced warning of unexpected changed in horizontal alignment
- Enhanced delineation along the curve
- Provide adequate sight distance
- Prevent edge drop-offs
- Provide grooved pavements
- Provide lighting of the curve
- Provide dynamic curve warning system
- Widen the roadway
- Improve or restore super-elevation
- Modify horizontal alignment
- Install automated anti-icing systems
- Prohibit/restrict trucks with very long semitrailers on roads with horizontal curves that cannot accommodate truck off-tracking

Source: NCHRP, 500: A Guide for Addressing Run Off Road Collisions
NCHRP, 500: A Guide for Addressing Head On Collisions
NCHRP, 500: A Guide for Reducing Collisions on Horizontal Curves
Statewide, Mississippi had 3,169 fatalities from 2012-2016. Unlicensed drivers, or drivers with a suspended license or a revoked or restricted (U/S/R) driver’s license accounted for 601 (19%) of the statewide total fatalities.

What are the Contributing Factors?

Age/Gender/Seat Belt Usage

- There were 601 fatalities involved with U/S/R driving. Of these, 443 (74%) were male and 158 (26%) were female.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age Group</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>≤ 13</td>
<td>19 (4%)</td>
<td>12 (8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 - 20</td>
<td>41 (9%)</td>
<td>24 (15%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21 - 25</td>
<td>71 (10%)</td>
<td>22 (3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26 - 35</td>
<td>109 (14%)</td>
<td>41 (6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36 - 45</td>
<td>75 (11%)</td>
<td>31 (5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46 - 55</td>
<td>74 (11%)</td>
<td>14 (4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56 - 65</td>
<td>36 (7%)</td>
<td>5 (3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66+</td>
<td>18 (7%)</td>
<td>9 (3%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Gender Totals | 443(74%) | 158 (26%) |

- There were 348 male U/S/R drivers (81%)/82 female U/S/R drivers (19%) killed, along with 95 male passengers and 76 female passengers.

- Of the people involved in fatal crashes with U/S/R drivers, 404 (67%) were not wearing seat belts. Of these, males represented 76% of the unbelted fatalities.

- Data reveals that 126 (21%) of U/S/R driver crashes were speed related and 36% of the occupants in these crashes were totally or partially ejected from the vehicle.

Road and Area Type

- Combining rural and urban roadways, local roads accounted for 43% of the fatalities. The jurisdiction with the second highest number of fatalities was State Highways with 27%.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Jurisdiction Classification</th>
<th>Fatalities</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Interstates</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US Highway</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Highways</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Roads</td>
<td>258</td>
<td>43%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total by Area Type</td>
<td>601</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Altogether, 79% (472 of 601) of fatalities occurred on roadways with a posted speed limit of 45 mph or greater. The top three are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Posted Speed Limit</th>
<th>Fatalities</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>45 mph</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55 mph</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>34%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65 mph</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Crash Type

- Lane Departure crashes accounted for the greatest number of fatalities for U/S/R drivers (472 out of 601, or 79%).

- Single vehicle crashes accounted for 65% (389 fatalities out of 601). Head-on & sideswipe (opposite) crashes accounted for 17% (79 of 601) of fatalities.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Crash Type</th>
<th>Fatalities</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Single Vehicle</td>
<td>389</td>
<td>65%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Head-On &amp; Sideswipe (Opposite)</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angle</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rear End &amp; Sideswipe (Same)</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Ineffectiveness of Traditional Sanctions

Measures traditionally employed to make it more difficult for U/S/R drivers to obtain or retain a license are ineffective and may even be counterproductive. Because of the costs of reinstating licensure, including the cost of vehicle insurance after a conviction for DUI, many drivers choose to remain unlicensed but continue to drive. When drivers are suspended or revoked, they are on the record system, and at least some level of control may be exerted over them. However, unlicensed drivers are more difficult to monitor, so that simply threatening to remove licensure for longer and longer periods of time does not solve the problem of hardcore offenders. Neither does education, jail sentences, or treatment programs. Something more is required.

Objectives and Strategies for Ensuring Drivers Are Fully Licensed and Competent by Keeping Unlicensed Drivers and Drivers with Suspended and Revoked Licenses Off the Road

Objectives / Strategies
• Apply special enforcement practices
• Restrict mobility through license plate modification or removal
• Restrict mobility through vehicle modification
• Restrict mobility through direct intervention with offender
• Eliminate need to drive
• Increase enforcement in selected areas
• Routinely link citations to driver record
• Create and distribute “hot sheets”
• “Stripe” license plate
• Impound license plate
• Immobilize/impound/seize vehicle
• Install ignition interlock device (IID)
• Monitor electronically
• Incarcerate
• Provide alternative transportation service

Source: NCHRP, 500: A Guide for Addressing Collisions Involving Unlicensed Drivers and Drivers with Suspended or Revoked Licenses
Statewide, Mississippi had 3,169 fatalities from 2012-2016, with 844 of these fatalities (27%) occurring in crashes that were intersection related.

What are the Contributing Factors?

Road and Area Type
- Combining rural and urban roadways, US Highway accounted for the greatest number of intersection fatalities (40%). The jurisdiction with the second highest number of intersection fatalities was State Highways with 37%.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Jurisdiction Classification</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Interstates</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US Highway</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Highways</td>
<td>37%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Roads/Other</td>
<td>23%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Total by Classification          | 100%|

- All together, 79% of fatalities occurred on roadways with a posted speed limit of 45 mph or greater. The top three are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Posted Speed Limit</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>45 mph</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55 mph</td>
<td>34%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65 mph</td>
<td>23%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- 59% of intersection fatalities occurred on 2-lane roadways, with another 36% on 4-lane roadways.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Intersection Type</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Four Way</td>
<td>64%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T Intersection</td>
<td>33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y Intersection</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Contributing Factors
- 37% of the vehicle occupant fatalities in intersection crashes were not using safety belts. By gender, 40% of males and 31% of females killed were unbelted.
- 63% of intersection fatalities were during daylight conditions and 33% during dark driving conditions.

The Driver
- 69% of drivers involved in a fatal intersection crashes were male.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age Group</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 20</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21 – 25</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26 – 35</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36 – 45</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46 – 55</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56 – 65</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66+</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>29%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The largest age group represented in the driver category in fatal intersection crashes is the over 65 years old range, at approximately double the level of any other age group.
Strategies for Preventing or Reducing Fatal Intersection Crashes

Unsignalized Intersections
• Improve management of access near unsignalized intersections
  o Implement driveway closures/relocations
  o Implement driveway turn restrictions
• Reduce the frequency and severity of intersection conflicts through geometric design improvements
  o Provide left-turn lanes at intersections
  o Provide longer left-turn lanes at intersections
  o Provide offset left-turn lanes at intersections
• Improve sight distance at unsignalized intersections
  o Clear sight triangles on stop- or yield-controlled approaches to intersections
  o Clear sight triangles in the medians of divided highways near intersections
  o Change horizontal and/or vertical alignment of approaches to provide more sight distance
• Improve availability of gaps in traffic and assist drivers in judging gap sizes at unsignalized intersections
  o Provide an automated real-time system to inform drivers of the suitability of available gaps for making turning and crossing maneuvers
  o Provide roadside markers or pavement markings to assist drivers in judging the suitability of available gaps for making turning and crossing maneuvers
  o Retime adjacent signals to create gaps at stop-controlled intersections
• Improve driver awareness of intersections as viewed from the intersection approach
  o Improve visibility of intersections by providing enhanced signing and delineation
  o Improve visibility of the intersection by providing lighting
  o Install splitter islands on the minor-road approach to an intersection

Source: NCHRP, 500: A Guide for Addressing Unsignalized/Signalized Intersection Collisions

Signalized Intersections
• Reduce frequency and severity of intersection conflicts through traffic control & operational improvements
  o Employ multiphase signal operation
  o Optimize clearance intervals
  o Restrict or eliminate turning maneuvers (including right turns on red
• Reduce frequency and severity of intersection conflicts through geometric improvements
  o Provide/improve left-turn channelization
  o Provide/improve right-turn channelization
  o Improve geometry of pedestrian and bicycle facilities
• Improve sight distance at signalized intersections
  o Clear sight triangles
  o Redesign intersection approaches
• Improve driver awareness of intersections and signal control
  o Improve visibility of intersections on approach(es)
  o Improve visibility of signals and signs at intersections
• Improve driver compliance with traffic control devices
  o Provide public information and education
  o Provide targeted conventional enforcement of traffic laws
• Improve access management near signalized intersections
  o Restrict access to properties using driveway closures or turn restrictions
  o Restrict cross-median access near intersections
• Improve safety through other infrastructure treatments
  o Improve drainage in intersection and on approaches
  o Provide skid resistance in intersection and on approaches
  o Coordinate closely spaced signals near at-grade railroad crossings
What are the Contributing Factors?

Age/Gender/Seat Belt Usage

- The fatalities involved with impaired driving identified that 85% were male drivers and 15% were female. The male age group from 26-35 was highest age group represented.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age Group</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>Both</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt;21</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21-25</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26-35</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36-45</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46-55</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56-65</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66+</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- 29% of the drivers in impaired driving fatal crashes were not licensed and 13% had prior DWI convictions and/or previous suspensions or revocations.
- 32% of the impaired driving fatalities were totally or partially ejected from the vehicle. unlicensed drivers (81%)/82 female unlicensed drivers (19%) killed, along with 95 male passengers and 76 female passengers.
- Of the people killed in impaired driver fatal crashes, 81% were drivers. 67% of the people killed were not wearing a seat belt and 25% of these crashes were listed as speed related.
- Data reveals that 33% of the impaired driver speed related fatalities resulted in the occupants being totally or partially ejected from the vehicle.

Road and Area Type

- The roadway alignment was straight for 71% of the impaired driving fatalities, with 29% in curves.
- Combining rural and urban roadways, local roads accounted for 47% of the fatalities. The jurisdiction with the second highest number of fatalities was State Highways with 31%.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Jurisdiction Classification</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Interstates</td>
<td>7.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US Highway</td>
<td>14.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Highways</td>
<td>31%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Roads</td>
<td>47%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- All together, 76% of impaired driver fatalities occurred on roadways with a posted speed limit of 45 mph or greater. The top three are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Posted Speed Limit</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>45 mph</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55 mph</td>
<td>36%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65 mph</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Crash Type

- Lane Departure crashes accounted for the greatest number of fatalities for impaired drivers 89%.
- Single vehicle crashes accounted for 75% of the fatalities for impaired driving. Head-on & sideswipe (opposite) crashes accounted for 13% of fatalities.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Crash Type</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Single Vehicle</td>
<td>75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Head-On &amp; Sideswipe (Opp)</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angle</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rear End &amp; Sideswipe (Same)</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A large number of strategies to reduce alcohol-related crashes have been tried; many have not been evaluated and others have shown no benefits when evaluated. The strategies presented here are considered to be the most promising based on either results from well-designed evaluation studies or the opinion of top experts in the field. Although these strategies often require state-level action, several can also be adapted and productively used in individual communities.

To determine which strategies will most likely produce the greatest benefit in a given jurisdiction, an important first step is to conduct a careful assessment of the nature of the local drinking-driving problem and how the current DWI countermeasure system is currently functioning. This is best done by a task force that represents all the key elements of this issue. Without such an approach, a fragmented and incomplete understanding of the problem(s) is likely, and progress will be difficult. The system for dealing with alcohol-impaired driving may be the most complex and inherently interrelated of any in traffic safety.

- **Reduce Excessive Drinking and Underage Drinking**
  - Increase the State Excise Tax on Beer
  - Require Responsible Beverage Service Policies for Alcohol Servers and Retailers
  - Conduct Well-Publicized Compliance Checks of Alcohol Retailers to Reduce Sales to Underage Persons
  - Employ Screening and Brief Interventions in Health Care Settings

- **Enforce DWI Laws**
  - Conduct Regular Well-Publicized DWI Checkpoints
  - Enhance DWI Detection Through Special DWI Patrols and Related Traffic Enforcement
  - Publicize and Enforce Zero Tolerance Laws for Drivers Under Age 21

- **Prosecute, Impose Sanctions on, and Treat DWI Offenders**
  - Suspend Driver’s License Administratively upon Arrest
  - Establish Stronger Penalties for BAC Test Refusal than for Test Failure
  - Eliminate Diversion Programs and Plea Bargains to Lesser, Non-Alcohol Offenses
  - Screen All Convicted DWI Offenders for Alcohol Problems and Require Treatment when Appropriate

- **Control High BAC and Repeat Offenders**
  - Seize Vehicle or Vehicle License Plates Administratively upon Arrest
  - Require Ignition Interlocks as a Condition for License Reinstatement
  - Monitor All Convicted DWI Offenders Closely
  - Incarcerate Offenders

Source: NCHRP, 500: A Guide for Reducing Alcohol-Related Collisions