

Call 01 Grade, Drain, Bridge & Pave 4 Lanes on SR 7 from CR 370 to 0.4 miles south of SR 6, known as Federal Aid Project No. STP-0019-02(065) / 102168301 in Lafayette County.

- Q1. Is the infill concrete used to fill the intermediate pipes at Bridge "F" to be paid for as bridge concrete or is it to be absorbed? Same question for the rebar cages - are the cages to be absorbed or paid for as reinforcement?
- A1. See bottom note on the right side of Sheet Number 8199. The concrete and rebar are to be absorbed under the steel pipe piling pay item.
- Q2. We are requesting a Geotechnical report for the Lafayette County project.
- A2. It can be downloaded at the following link: <https://file-exchange.mdot.state.ms.us/dl/?f=d0f7b8af611bd36086f69f32eb4af7c7b2d30ff4>
- Q3. The retaining wall plan sheets mention a Geotechnical Report that could be provided upon request. Would MDOT please provide those reports?
- A3. See Answer 2.
- Q4. Will burning of debris be allowed on the entire project if the contractor follows the regulations in the 2017 Red Book?
- A4. Burning of debris will be allowed provided it meets the requirements of the 2017 Standard Specifications. If any burning is done within the city limits, it will have to meet all local code and ordinances.
- Q5. Bridge A has a note about coating the pipe piles, but these piling get encased in concrete. Can MDOT confirm the pipe pile coating requirements at these bridges?
- A5. See Addendum 2.
- Q6. With 20 Box Culverts and 3 Box Bridges will the bat exclusion be required for clearing & grubbing?
- A6. There are no restrictions due to bats.
- Q7. Please verify data in earthwork table. 1.) Phase 1 Wk No 5C Cut 15,578 CY Fill 1,353 Plan sheet shows 0 CY Cut Fill 17,188 CY. 2.) Phase 2 Wk No 6R Fill 87,620 CY Plan sheet shows Fill 43,508 CY. 3.) Wk No 14C Cut 918 CY Fill 3,310 CY Plan sheet shows Cut 775 CY Fill 3,299 CY Please Clarify
- A7. 1.) See Addendum 2. 2.) 43,508 CY is the Fill amount for Phase 1. 87,620 CY is the Fill amount for Phase 2. 3.) 918 CY of Cut and 3,310 CY of Fill is the combined amounts for Phase 2 of Lyles Drive and Barron Street shown on WK-14C. However, the total amounts of Cut (1,997 CY) and Fill (3,299 CY) shown on Sheet WK-14C are in error. The Cut

should equal 2140 CY, and the Fill should equal 3310 CY. The quantities on Sheet 40 (EQ-2) are correct.

Q8. Double Box Culvert shown on Bridge "F"- Sheet F2 & F3 of F27. This Double Box Culvert will have to be removed. There is pay item for 3 box culverts totally 209 LF which does not include this box culvert. How does removal of Double Box Culvert at Bridge F get paid?

A8. See Addendum 2.

Q9. In reference to Removal of Items Table Item Retaining Wall Sheet 10L 93' & Sheet 11L 302'. We are unable to find retaining wall that is being referenced in the Removal Table. Please clarify. Please give additional information.

A9. See Addendum 2.

Q10. **1.)** In reference to pay item, 610-A001, Cable Barrier, can MDOT specify what type of cable is currently in place, because the new cable will have to tie into the existing cable barrier. **2.)** In reference to pay item 221-A001, Concrete Paved Ditch, can the portion for the vegetation pad for the cable barrier be separated into an individual pay item in order to be used for DBE credit?

A10. **1.)** Safence Four Rope NCHRP 350 system. **2.)** Yes. See Addendum 3.

Q11. **1.)** Is the temporary shoring required during traffic control phase 1C to be paid for under Pay Item 907-809-A005? **2.)** The temporary shoring required to construct the retaining wall at STA 1393+00 appears to be absorbed. Can MDOT confirm?

A11. **1.)** Yes. **2.)** Yes.

Q12. The retaining walls at STA 1393+00 and STA 1436+00 are detailed to be backfilled by Size 57 crushed stone. Is the size 57 crushed stone to be absorbed? There is not a pay item for it.

A12. The cost of the crushed stone backfill is to be absorbed into the cost of the wall. See last paragraph under Retaining Wall Plan Notes on Sheet Number 8248 (Working Number W11 of W11).

Q13. **1.)** In Addendum #2, 4-EA Settlement Plates were added, but the only location noted in the plans for settlement plates are on the each abutment of Bridge C. Is the intent to install 2 settlement plates on each of the abutments, or is there other locations where settlement plates are to be installed? **2.)** Also, will pile driving operations for end bent construction be allowed during the 180-day settlement period?

A13. **1.)** There will be a total of 4 at Bridge C; two at each abutment, offset 10' left and right of centerline. **2.)** No. End bent pile driving is not allowed until the settlement plates have been released.

- Q14. The special provision for settlement plates in Addendum 2 mentions a 180 day waiting period. There is no information that I can find that shows where these plates are to be installed or any mention in the plans about waiting period for any of the embankments. Is this information applicable to this project?
- A14. The 180 Day Waiting Period mentioned in Special Provision 907-207-2 is required. There will be a total of 4 Settlement Plates at Bridge C; two at each abutment, offset 10' left and right of centerline.
- Q15. Can MDOT provide a list of signs that are to be removed and reset?
- A15. The signs to be removed and replaced using pay item 619-D3001 are not listed. The intent is to remove and reset roadside construction signs between phases.